PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI	TY (6.1977 0.100) 200 200
To:	
	PCT
Davies Collison Cave Level 15	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
1 Nicholson Street	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
MELBOURNE VIC 3000	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	The Median To Mording to the Declaration
	(PCT Rule 44.1)
Rop. SR 30-11.04	Date of mailing
	(day/month/year) 3 0 SEP 2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference 12469560/TDO/FT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No.	
PCT/AU2004/000914	International filing date
Applicant	(day/month/year 7 July 2004
FLINDERS TECHNOLOGIES PTY. LTD. et al	
The state of the s	
1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international sea have been established and are transmitted herewith.	arch report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19	
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cl	aims of the international application (see Rule 46):
When? The time limit for filing such amenda international search report.	nents is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of	f WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsim	nile No : +41 22 740 14 35
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the The applicant is hereby polified that no international case.	accompanying sheet.
	ch report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addit	ional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon has be request to forward the texts of both the protest and t	een transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's he decision thereon to the designated Offices
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the ap	plicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders	
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date.	the international application will be published by the International
	on, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical
F ==	
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy	of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international
before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.	These comments would also be made available to the public but not
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of a	ama dada a 1 000
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the	ome designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in
into the national phase before those designated Offices.	o months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry
	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.
the ani	olicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,
Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	office, see the PC1 Applicant's Guide,
Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU	Authorized officer
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE	Authorized diffeet
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606 AUSTRALIA	DIMI IND A MAINTENANT
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	PHILIPPA WYRDEMAN
	Telephone No. (02) 6283 2554

PHILIPPA WYRDEMAN Telephone No. (02) 6283 2554

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 12469560/TDO/FT	FOR FURTHER ACTION as w	see Form PCT/ISA/220 vell as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/AU2004/000914	International filing date (day/month/year) 7 July 2004	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 7 July 2003
Applicant FLINDERS TECHNOLOGIES	PTY. LTD. et al	, July 2003
This international search report consists of a to	ared by this International Searching Authority a International Bureau. Stal of 5 sheets. of each prior art document cited in this report.	nd is transmitted to the applicant according to
The international search Authority (Rule 23.1(b)) b. With regard to any nucleotide an Certain claims were found unser	was carried out on the basis of a translation of). d/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the integrated archable (See Box No. II).	
4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the text has been established by the	by the applicant.	dophytic actinomycetes and
 With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published 	ding to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it apport of this international search report, submit commends in the search report, submit approximately search report, submit comments are search report, submit comments and search report, submit comments are search report, submit approximately search report, submit appro	ears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within nents to this Authority.
ļ — ¬	nt. y, because the applicant failed to suggest a figur y, because this figure better characterizes the in	

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000914

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. X Claims Nos.: 38 and it's dependencies in part
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
Claim 38 and it's dependencies are directed to any metabolite derived from the microorganisms of the invention. The microorganisms claimed are likely to produce many metabolites that are common with all other related microorganisms and other non related microorganisms. These metabolites are clearly not encompassed within the inventive concept of this invention and as such claims to them are not supported. It is not possible to undertake a comprehensive search of all possible metabolites and their mimetics, chemical equivalents etc as claimed.
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: See extra sheet
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
1-45 in so far as they relate to an endophytic actinomycete of the strain Streptomyces triticum and characterised by the nucleotide sequences <400>3, 7-10, 12-14, 17 and 19-30 and methods of using same.
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.
- Popular State Ites.

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000914

Α.	CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT M	ATTE	R	
Int. Cl. 7;	C12N 1/20, A61K 35/74, A01N 63	/02		
According to	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to	both national classification and IPC	
B.	FIELDS SEARCHED			
Minimum doc	umentation searched (classification system f	ollowed	l by classification symbols)	
Documentatio	n searched other than minimum documentati	on to th	ne extent that such documents are included in the fields search	ched
W1 IDS, CA	base consulted during the international sease LPLUS, AGRICOLA, MEDLINE: baseowth, production, biodegrad?	ch (nar arley,	me of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) wheat, actinomycete, actinobacteria, streptomyce	s, endoph?,
C.	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RE	LEVA	NT	
ategory*	Citation of document, with indication	, where	e appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
XY	SARDI, P. et al (1992) "Isolation Sterilized Roots" Appl. Environ. A See entire document and in particular	1icrot	dophytic Streptomyces strains from Surface piol. 58(8):2691-2693. able 2	1-45
XY	1 9/08/2004). Retrieved from the Int	ernet:	n Science (online) 24/08/2001 (retrieved available on web.archive.org 4 October 2001 //s/stories/s351442.htm see entire document	1-45
Y	Streptomyces atroolivaceus" J. As	ronor	wth Promotion of Wheat Seedlings by my & Crop Science, 163:109-114. ge 109 columns 1 and 2 - Introduction	1-45
PX .	COOMBS, J. T. et al (Sept. 2003) Surface Sterilized Wheat Roots" A See entire document	"Isola I <i>ppl. E</i>	tion and Identification of Actinobacteria from Environ. Microbiol. 69(9):5603-5608.	1-45
X Fu	urther documents are listed in the con	ntinua	tion of Box C See patent family anne	x
Special consider A" document not consider	ategories of cited documents: I defining the general state of the art which is dered to be of particular relevance	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priconflict with the application but cited to understand the principle	
internatio	olication or patent but published on or after the nal filing date	"X"	underlying the invention document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the do alone	
another ci document or other m		"Y" "&"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be involve an inventive step when the document is combined with or such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skill document member of the same patent family	e considered to
but later th	published prior to the international filing date an the priority date claimed			
ste of the actua September	completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report	0 000 0000
	g address of the ISA/AU			O SEP 2004
JSTRALIAN F	ATENT OFFICE ODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA		Authorized officer	

International application No

PCT/AU2004/000914

C (Continuati	(on) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERS	CT/AU2004/000914
	OBSIDE TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	COOMBS, J. T. et al (July 2003) "Visualisation of an Endophytic Streptomy.	rces
PX	Species in Wheat Seed" Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69(7):4260-4262. See entire document	
	· ·	1-45
	·	
	·	
İ		
	· .	
	·	
		1

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000914

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

Continuation of Box No: III

The Applicant has claimed more than one invention. Rule 13.1 of the PCT states the principle that an International Application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, that the inclusion of those inventions in one International Application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

Rule 13.2 of the PCT defines the method for determining whether the requirement of unity of invention is satisfied in respect of a group of inventions claimed in an International application. Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding "special technical features." The expression "special technical features" is defined in Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any).

Invention 1: An endophytic actinomycete of the strain *Streptomyces triticum* and characterised by the nucleotide sequences <400>3, 7-10, 12-14, 17 and 19-30 and methods of using same.

vention 2: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Nocardioides albus* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 16 and methods of using same.

Invention 3: An endophytic actinomycete of the species Streptomyces galilaeus and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 2 and 15 and methods of using same.

Invention 4: An endophytic actinomycete of a species of *Streptomyces* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400>11 and methods of using same.

Invention 5: An endophytic actinomycete of the species Streptomyces argenteolus and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 18 and methods of using same.

Invention 6: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Microbispora* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 1 and methods of using same.

Invention 7: An endophytic actinomycete of the species Streptomyces pseudovenezuelae and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 4 and methods of using same.

Invention 8: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Streptomyces lincolnesis* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 5 and methods of using same.

vention 9: An endophytic actinomycete of the species *Streptomyces bikiniensis* and characterised by the nucleotide sequence <400> 6 and methods of using same.

Each of the above organisms are endophyitic actinomycetes that are capable of improving plant productivity, but this is not novel, as noted in the following citation. Therefore this cannot be used as a special technical feature providing unity to all of the sequences.

Salleh A. Antibiotics for wheat. News in Science (online) 24/08/2001 (retrieved 9/08/2004). Retrieved from the Internet: <URL:http://www.abc.net.au/science/news/stories/s351442.htm>

In order to search each of the inventions, this could only be done by consideration of each of the individual organisms, thereby requiring eight separate searches. Thus, each of the organisms is considered as a single invention.